UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,210	02/01/2007	Noritoshi Eguchi	SON-3441	6661
	7590 11/10/200 MAN & GRAUER PLL	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			BEN, LOHA	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/579,210	EGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LOHA BEN	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0506;0307;0707.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, on line 4, and in claim 5, on line 5, "entire" should be replaced with – optical --; and in claim 6, on line 3, "fixed" should be replaced with – stationary – to be consistent.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8: on line 2, "the focal plane" has no antecedent basis; and on line 3, "the optical parts" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 12: on line 2, "the focal plane" has no antecedent basis; and on line 3, it is not clear whether the "optical parts" recited belong to any element of the system, since there is no linking recitation connecting the "parts" of line 3 with the "parts" of lines 4-9. As is understood from the disclosure, the "lens" recited on line 6 is "L1" which is a part of the image-forming optical system (recited on lines 1 and 2) which comprises L1, L2 and L3 (or simply L = L1 + L2 + L3). See page 16, and Fig. 6B. Such characteristic is not clearly seen in the claim.

Claims 9-11 and 13-14, depending from claims 8 and 12, respectively, inherit the indefiniteness thereof.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the construction of **an image-forming optical system** (lines 1 and 2 of claim 8) to comprise L1, L2 and L3 (see page 16 of the specification), does not reasonably provide enablement for **the image-forming optical system** to comprise all the elements recited on lines 5-9 of claim 8. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In this rejection, as now worded, "the optical system" recited on line 5 is taken to be the "optical system" called for on lines 1 and 2.

# **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 1-7 are allowable.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LOHA BEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2323. The examiner can normally be reached on M-SAT, generally between 12:01 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,210 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack, can be reached on M-F, at (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 6, 2008

/Loha Ben/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873